

64405 Road Safety Improvements - Craigleith Drive

In a follow-up to request 63598:

It appears the delay and resultant costs resulted from late delivery from a supplier.

Please confirm and advise if they were held liable for the additional costs CEC incurred and if not, why not?

The supplier was not held liable for additional costs as the delivery of the VAS (Vehicle Activated Signs) was still within the dates we had programmed for the construction of this project.

Please explain in greater detail the reason for the change from wireless to a hardwired link.

Why was hardwired link not included in the design if this was “more appropriate”?

Did the change, wireless to hard wired, contribute to the delay?

The change from wireless to hardwired was because the large mass of the stone bridge was causing issues with the VAS on each side of the bridge communicating with each other. The change from wireless to hardwired caused a delay to the project.

Please explain the background to and the road safety issues and accident statistics that led to this project being adopted as requested above.

The project was submitted to the Local Traffic Improvement (LTI) team on the 15/04/2024 for consideration. Attached is a copy of the e-mails that led to this project being on the LTI programme. The e-mails highlight a resident's concerns regarding road safety issues. This project was approved at Transport and Environment Committee on the 15/08/2024.

Third party personal information has been redacted from the document provided in compliance with the Data Protection Act 2018.