

57499 Traffic Regulation Orders Sub-Committee_ETRO 21/27B

In the report that was presented to the Traffic Regulation Orders Sub-Committee on 4 September 2025 regarding the Travelling Safely - North Area (ETRO 21/27B), it states in Appendix 15 (Table 1) that all of the measures proposed to be made permanent in the North Area have been subject to a Road Safety Audit (RSA).

Please provide all information held by the Council on this RSA including the details of when it was undertaken, who undertook the audit and the outcome of the audit.

Also, in Appendix 16 it is stated that:

'The objection from NTBCC also raised material grounds relating to a specific measure that would require to be referred to a Public Hearing for determination.

These are in relation to a section of parking and loading restrictions trialled near the Broughton roundabout and the impact these have on the ability of motor vehicles to park and load.'

Please refer to the attached documents in relation to Travelling Safely - North Area (ETRO 21/27B).

Third party personal information has been redacted from the documents provided in compliance with the Data Protection Act 2018.

Please provide clarification of the material grounds that would have required a Public Hearing to be held.

Please refer to the following extract from The Local Authorities' Traffic Orders (Procedure) (Scotland) Regulations 1999

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukSI/1999/614/regulation/8/made>

8.—(1) Before making any order to which these Regulations apply the authority may hold a hearing in connection with that order and the authority shall hold such a hearing before making an order in the following cases:—

(a) where the order is—

(i) an order under section 1, 37 or 45 of the Act which contains a provision which prohibits, or has the effect of prohibiting, the loading or unloading of vehicles in any road either at all times or for any period of time unless such period falls wholly between 0700 hours and 1000 hours or between 1600 hours and 1900 hours in any day, or

(ii) an order under section 9 of the Act which contains a provision such as is mentioned in head (i) of this sub-paragraph and which is proposed to be made within 6 months of the date on which a previous order under section 9 of the Act containing a similar provision as respects that road ceased to be in force, and an objection to that provision in the order is made by any person in accordance with regulation 7 above and is not withdrawn;

(b) where the order is—

(i) an order under section 1, 37 or 45 of the Act which contains a provision for requiring vehicular traffic generally, or vehicular traffic of any class, to proceed on a road in a specified direction, or for prohibiting such traffic from so proceeding, or

(ii)an order under section 9 of the Act which contains a provision for requiring vehicular traffic generally, or vehicular traffic of any class, to proceed on a road in a specified direction, or for prohibiting such traffic from so proceeding, and which is proposed to be made within 6 months of the date on which a previous order under section 9 of the Act containing a similar provision as respects that road ceased to be in force, or

(iii)an order under section 19 of the Act which provides that any road shall not be used either at all times or for a limited period or periods in the year by public service vehicles or such vehicles of a specified class,

and an objection to that provision in the order is made in accordance with regulation 7 by a person who provides a relevant service on any road to which the order relates, and is not withdrawn; and

(c)where the order is one which requires the consent of the Secretary of State under paragraph 13 of Schedule 9 to the Act, and he has notified the authority that he will not be willing to consider giving his consent to the making of the order until a hearing has been held by the authority in connection with it.