

56746 Rosehill Segregated Cycle Lanes Defenders

I have heard at the TRO Sub-committee about objections to the use of Rosehill defenders for segregated cycle lanes and footways being a trip hazard.

The information I would like is either an anonymised provision of those objections, or alternatively a confirmation of whether the council is aware of any actual incidents and / or injuries resulting from the use of these temporary bollards.

I am seeking to ascertain whether anyone has actually had a trip interaction with this style of bollard, or whether it is a generic complaint that does not pertain to a specific incident.

The full (anonymised) text of all of the objections received to the City Centre and East Area Travelling Safely ETROs was reported to the Council's Traffic Regulation Orders Sub-Committee on 12/05/2025.

This is published on the Council's website at:

<https://democracy.edinburgh.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=645&MId=7748>

Please refer to Item 4 – 'Travelling Safely – City Centre and East Areas – ETRO2126A and ETRO2128A' - Appendices 11 and 13 of the report.

A copy of this report also formed Appendix 1 of the subsequent report to the Sub-Committee on 07/05/2025, published at:

<https://democracy.edinburgh.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=645&MId=7885>

Please refer to Item 2a.

In terms of insurance claims submitted, based on the information provided, it is not possible to identify whether the claims relate specifically to "Rosehill defenders" as this is not highlighted on the claims information. A claimant might refer to a rubber kerb, etc., but would not describe it a "Rosehill defender".