56051

From: Cameron McKay < Cameron. McKay @edinburgh.gov.uk >

Sent: 20 December 2023 15:18

To: Tim Pogson <Cllr.Tim.Pogson@edinburgh.gov.uk>

Cc:

Subject: RE: HIGH HEDGE COMPLAINT AND NOTICE

Afternoon Tim

, my conclusion is that the remaining tree forms part of the hedge and should therefore be reduced in size.

I have updated the planning department who are to confirm the next steps

Cameron

Cameron McKay | Senior Solicitor (Real Estate & Planning) | Legal and Assurance | The City of Edinburgh Council | Waverley Court Business Centre 1.9 | 4 East Market Street | Edinburgh | EH8 8BG | mobile:

This advice is strictly privileged and confidential and is intended for use by the recipient only.

My working days are Monday - Thursday.

From: Tim Pogson

Sent: Saturday, November 4, 2023 4:57 PM

To: Cameron McKay

Subject: RE: HIGH HEDGE COMPLAINT AND NOTICE

Thank you for the update, Cameron, that's appreciated.

I look forward to hearing your response on this matter and hoping it will represent as positive an outcome as possible for all concerned.

Many thanks, Tim

From: Cameron McKay < Cameron. McKay@edinburgh.gov.uk>

Sent: Thursday, November 2, 2023 10:01 AM

To: Tim Pogson <Cllr.Tim.Pogson@edinburgh.gov.uk> Subject: RE: HIGH HEDGE COMPLAINT AND NOTICE

Morning Tim

I attended _____ yesterday to view the hedge;

The visit was useful as you had anticipated and

I am now finalising a final response to complaint and will share a copy of that response with you once finalised.
Cameron
Cameron McKay Senior Solicitor (Real Estate & Planning) Legal and Assurance The City of Edinburgh Council Waverley Court Business Centre 1.9 4 East Market Street Edinburgh EH8 8BG mobile:
From: Tim Pogson <cllr.tim.pogson@edinburgh.gov.uk> Sent: Friday, October 6, 2023 6:02 PM To: Cameron McKay <cameron.mckay@edinburgh.gov.uk> Subject: RE: HIGH HEDGE COMPLAINT AND NOTICE</cameron.mckay@edinburgh.gov.uk></cllr.tim.pogson@edinburgh.gov.uk>
Many thanks, Cameron, Hopefully the matter will be as clear to you as it was to me, after you've had chance to see the matter at hand for yourself.
Let me know how you get on.
Many thanks, Tim
From: Cameron McKay <cameron.mckay@edinburgh.gov.uk> Sent: Thursday, October 5, 2023 3:53 PM To: Tim Pogson <cllr.tim.pogson@edinburgh.gov.uk> Subject: FW: HIGH HEDGE COMPLAINT AND NOTICE</cllr.tim.pogson@edinburgh.gov.uk></cameron.mckay@edinburgh.gov.uk>
Afternoon Tim
we have agreed to have a site visit and I have proposed 1 November for that visit.
A final decision will be issued shortly after the visit has taken place.
Cameron

Cameron McKay | Senior Solicitor (Real Estate & Planning) | Legal and Assurance | The City of Edinburgh Council | Waverley Court Business Centre 1.9 | 4 East Market Street | Edinburgh | EH8 8BG | mobile:

From: Cameron McKay Sent: 04 October 2023 16:54 To: Tim Pogson <Cllr.Tim.Pogson@edinburgh.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: HIGH HEDGE COMPLAINT AND NOTICE (for information)

Hi Tom

Thank you for your email and for the further information which is helpful.

I expect to be in a position to revert to the parties with my final view on the matter at the start of next week at the latest.

Many thanks

Cameron

Cameron McKay | Senior Solicitor (Real Estate & Planning) | Legal and Assurance | The City of Edinburgh Council | Waverley Court Business Centre 1.9 | 4 East Market Street | Edinburgh | EH8 8BG | mobile:

From: Tim Pogson <Cllr.Tim.Pogson@edinburgh.gov.uk>

Sent: Tuesday, October 3, 2023 10:53 PM

To: Cameron McKay < Cameron. McKay@edinburgh.gov.uk >

Subject: FW: HIGH HEDGE COMPLAINT AND NOTICE (for information)

Hi Cameron, I would encourage anybody who is getting involved in determining an outcome on this matter to pay a visit to the site for themselves, as I have.

In my view, the matter is relatively straightforward. There was a row of five trees forming a hedge between these two gardens. Let's call them trees nos. 1-5. Tree no.5 actually has no bearing on this matter as it is not on the boundary of the two gardens in dispute; it is on a boundary with the neighbouring garden.

The hedge owner was instructed to reduce the height of four trees and he did, trees nos. 2-5, but the intention was that the trees that required to be reduced were nos. 1-4. Consequently, tree no. 1 remains and is the subject of the outstanding dispute. How the confusion between trees nos. 1 and 5 arose, I don't know, but I believe the dispute revolves around this single outstanding tree, tree no.1.

It is a straightforward matter, in my view, but taking a huge amount of effort, time and resource to sort out.

Many thanks, Cllr Tim Pogson