

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [REDACTED]@edinburgh.gov.uk >;  
**Sent:** Sat 07/06/2025 10:49  
**Reply-To:** [REDACTED]@gmail.com >;  
**Subject:** Re: Planning application 24/06288/FUL

Dear [REDACTED], I should add that our land (lawn) directly adjoins the application site. [REDACTED] On 7 Jun 2025, at 06

**External email**

Dear [REDACTED], I should add that our land (lawn) directly adjoins the application site.

[REDACTED]

On 7 Jun 2025, at 06:24, [REDACTED] wrote:

Dear [REDACTED]

We are very keen to receive a reply to my email of 27 May below.

Feel free to ring me on [REDACTED], if that would be helpful.

[REDACTED]

On Thu, 29 May 2025 at 13:50, [REDACTED] wrote:  
Dear [REDACTED],

I wonder if you are in a position to reply to my query below. I should say there is considerable disquiet (not to say anger) among the 30 flats in this development, immediately adjacent to the pitches, that we were neither consulted nor notified, and so an early reply would be appreciated.

Please feel free to ring me on [REDACTED] if that is helpful.

[REDACTED]

----- Forwarded message -----

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Date:** Tue, 27 May 2025 at 20:59  
**Subject:** Planning application 24/06288/FUL  
**To:** [REDACTED]@edinburgh.gov.uk>

Dear [REDACTED]

I live at [REDACTED] Fettes Rise, EH4 1 [REDACTED]. This property overlooks the Edinburgh Academy hockey pitches and other facilities which are the subject of this planning application, approved on 19 March. Work is already under way.

I have two immediate questions:

1. Why were my neighbours and I within Blocks 1-5 at Fettes Rise not provided with a Neighbour Notification of this application, on property we directly overlook?
2. Without prejudice to any other views we may wish to express, can you assure me that the time restrictions on the use of floodlighting imposed at the time of the original planning approval in 2010 still apply?

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

--  
[REDACTED]

**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wed 04/06/2025 11:14  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]

**Reply-To:** [REDACTED]";  
**Subject:** RE: 24/06288/FUL

Dear [REDACTED],

Thank you for your correspondence. An assessment has been conducted, and all relevant details are documented in the handling report.

The proposed floodlights are intended to enhance illumination while minimizing light spillage. The floodlight columns have been designed with features to reduce visual impact. Although the columns are of considerable height, the assessment concludes that, in accordance with current planning policies, they do not significantly compromise visual amenity or adversely affect the conservation area.

Additionally, the proposal involves replacing existing columns, which means there is already an established visual impact. The new design aims to improve upon the current situation while respecting the character of the area.

Regards,

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 21 March 2025 20:58  
**To:** [REDACTED]@edinburgh.gov.uk>  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** 24/06288/FUL

External email

First time sender

Hello

I see the decision has been granted for the 15m lights for Edinburgh Academy. (24/06288/FUL)

I would like new telescopic lights installed to replace the current telescopic ones.

In your report " para b Amenity" it says "The use of telescopic columns would have no impact on levels of light pollution"

For me, this is missing the point.

To be clear, it's not the actual illumination I am concerned about, it's the physical column affecting the view if they are at 15 metres permanently .

The Academy originally applied for permanent 15 metre lighting columns in 2008. 07/04068/FUL Decision issued date 14 Aug 2008 rejected this proposal, stating;

"The proposed floodlights would result in an unacceptable loss of visual amenity and would be detrimental to residential amenity due to late evening activity, contrary to Policy H11 of the Central Edinburgh Local Plan and Policy Hou 8 of the finalised Edinburgh City Local Plan"

Reference 09/00500/FUL Decision issued date Thu 10 Jun 2010, required the lights to be telescopic " in order to safeguard the amenity of neighbouring residents and other occupiers" and also "to safeguard the character of the conservation area"

To put it another way, In 2010 the council assessed that the lights should retract from 15 metres (when in use and illuminated) to 6.5 metres. (when not in use and lights turned off) for the reasons stated above.

I would like to understand why this decision( for retractable columns) is still not applicable today?

I understand the pitches need to be upgraded and I am supportive of all the benefits that will give to the students and other users.

I have cc'd three of my neighbours into this email as they share the same concerns.


Thank you and I look forward to discussing this with you.

Yours sincerely

A solid grey rectangular box used to redact the sender's name and signature.

Flat 

 Fettes Rise.

EH41 







**To:** [REDACTED]@edinburgh.gov.uk >  
[REDACTED]@edinburgh.gov.uk >  
**Sent:** Mon 02/06/2025 13:09  
**Reply-To:** [REDACTED]";  
**Subject:** RE: Planning application 24/06288/FUL

Hi [REDACTED]

It looks like Nos. 31/33 Kinnear Road are out with the 20 m buffer zone for neighbour notification.

Thanks!

---

**From:** [REDACTED]@edinburgh.gov.uk>  
**Sent:** 02 June 2025 13:20

**To:** [redacted]@edinburgh.gov.uk>; [redacted]  
[redacted]@edinburgh.gov.uk>

**Subject:** FW: Planning application 24/06288/FUL

Good afternoon,

I hope you are both well.

I have this enquiry about neighbour notification asking why the number 31/33 did not received anything?

Any chance you could please double check if we have covered everything within 20metre radius?

Thank you,

[redacted]

---

**From:** [redacted]  
**Sent:** 29 May 2025 14:32  
**To:** [redacted]@edinburgh.gov.uk>  
**Subject:** Planning application 24/06288/FUL

External email

First time sender

Dear [REDACTED],

I live at [REDACTED] Kinnear Road EH3 5 [REDACTED]. The 31/33 Kinnear Road development overlooks the Edinburgh Academy hockey pitches and other facilities which are the subject of this planning application, approved on 19 March.

Last week I saw that the artificial pitches were being replaced and thought given I had not heard anything from the council or the academy that this was purely a replacement of the artificial pitches.

I am now aware that the scope of the project is far wider (with work underway) and has been subject to a planning application which has been approved without any notification or consultation with our development.

The immediate question that comes to mind is:

**Why were my neighbours and I within Blocks 31/33 Kinnear Road not provided with a Neighbour Notification of this application, on property we directly overlook?**

We feel strongly we should have been consulted and will try to engage with the academy at the earliest opportunity.

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED]

**To:** [REDACTED]

**Sent:** Mon 14/04/2025 15:54

**Reply-To:** [REDACTED]

**Subject:** Re: 24/06288/FUL

Dear [REDACTED], You were kind enough to suggest in your letter that we could write to you with questions. Like my n

**External email**

**First time sender**

**Contains topics of a financial nature**

Dear [REDACTED],

You were kind enough to suggest in your letter that we could write to you with questions. Like my neighbour, [REDACTED], I remain confused about why the retraction of the lighting was viewed as relevant only in relation to lighting glare and not in relation to impairment of amenity in the conservation area.

In my experience, it is often viewed as appropriate to minimize impairment to the degree that it consistent with functionality. Indeed, this was the approach adopted in relation to the earlier application as regards the height of the fences. This approach to minimizing impairment does not seem to have been taken here.

We would be very grateful for your reactions to our concerns about this aspect of the reasoning in the decision.

Thank you so much and wishing you a nice evening.

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Date:** Friday, March 21, 2025 at 22:29

**To:** [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]@edinburgh.gov.uk

[REDACTED]@edinburgh.gov.uk>

**Cc:** [REDACTED]

---

**Subject:** 24/06288/FUL

Thanks sending the emails [REDACTED], let's see what their response is!

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

On Friday, March 21, 2025, 8:57 pm, [REDACTED] wrote:

Hello [REDACTED]

I see the decision has been granted for the 15m lights for Edinburgh Academy.  
( 24/06288/FUL)

I would like new telescopic lights installed to replace the current telescopic ones.

In your report " para b Amenity" it says "The use of telescopic columns would have no impact on levels of light pollution"

For me, this is missing the point.

To be clear, it's not the actual illumination I am concerned about, it's the physical column affecting the view if they are at 15 metres permanently .

The Academy originally applied for permanent 15 metre lighting columns in 2008.

07/04068/FUL Decision issued date 14 Aug 2008 rejected this proposal, stating;

"The proposed floodlights would result in an unacceptable loss of visual amenity and would be detrimental to residential amenity due to late evening activity, contrary to Policy H11 of the Central Edinburgh Local Plan and Policy Hou 8 of the finalised Edinburgh City Local Plan"

Reference 09/00500/FUL Decision issued date Thu 10 Jun 2010, required the lights to be telescopic " in order to safeguard the amenity of neighbouring residents and other occupiers" and also "to safeguard the character of the conservation area"

To put it another way, In 2010 the council assessed that the lights should retract from 15 metres (when in use and illuminated) to 6.5 metres. (when not in use and lights turned off) for the reasons stated above.

I would like to understand why this decision( for retractable columns) is still not applicable today?

I understand the pitches need to be upgraded and I am supportive of all the benefits that will give to the students and other users.

I have cc'd three of my neighbours into this email as they share the same concerns.

Thank you and I look forward to discussing this with you.

Yours sincerely

[REDACTED]

Flat [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Fettes Rise.

EH41 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

The information transmitted is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any review, retransmission, dissemination, distribution, forwarding, or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon, this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient is prohibited without the express permission of the sender. If you received this communication in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from any computer.